## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

		_		
MICHAEL BEHAYLO,	Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-30178-KPN		
-and-				
JOHN BETTENCOURT,		CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-30179-KPN		
VS.	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED JURY QUESTIONS		
THE TOP-FLITE GOLF CO	OMPANY			
THE FOLLETTE GOEL OF	·			
	Defendant.			
1. Has the Plaint	for each	each question independently plaintiff e Defendant retained a substantially as a cost accountant after the Plaintiff was		
As to John Bettencourt:				
Yes No				
Name of substantially younger replacement:				
As to Michael Behaylo				
Yes No	_			
Name of substantially young	ger replacement:	·		
Has the Plaint neutrally when it laid him of		e Defendant failed to treat the Plaintiff age n as a cost accountant?		
As to John Bettenco	urt:			

Yes No As to Michael Behaylo					
Yes No					
f your answer is "No" to question 1 <u>and</u> question 2 then answer no further questions for he Plaintiff for whom you have answered no. If your answer is "Yes" to <u>either</u> question 1 or question 2, proceed to the next question.					
3. Based on the court's instructions, has the Plaintiff proven by a reponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff's age actually motivated the Defendant's ecision to lay him off, and that his age was the determinative factor in the Defendant's ecision?					
As to John Bettencourt:					
Yes No					
As to Michael Behaylo					
Yes No					
f your answer is "No" to question 3 then answer no further questions for that plaintiff or plaintiffs. If your answer is "Yes" to question 3, proceed to the next question for the plaintiff or plaintiffs for whom you have answered yes.					
4. Based on the court's instructions, has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant intentionally discriminated against him because of his age when it laid him off?					
As to John Bettencourt:					
Yes No					
As to Michael Behaylo					
Yes No					
f your answer is "No" to guestion 4 then answer no further guestions. If your answer is					

If your answer is "No" to question 4 then answer no further questions. If your answer is "Yes" to question 4, proceed to the next question.

5. Do you find that Plaintiff, if not laid off in April 2004, would in any event have been laid off as a result of a business restructuring by the end of 2005?

	As to John	Bettencourt:			
	Yes	_ No			
	As to Michael Behaylo				
	Yes	_No			
backp occurr	ay that he w		o you award Plaintiff to compensate him for from Defendant if the age discrimination had not		
	As to John	Bettencourt:			
	\$				
	As to Mich	ael Behaylo			
	\$	<del></del>			
Proce	ed to next o	question.			
Defen discrir	dant "willful	s Plaintiff proven by a lly", as that term is de ainst him on the basi	preponderance of the evidence that the escribed in my Instructions, violated federal law by s of his age?		
	As to John	Bettencourt:			
	Yes	_ No			
	As to Mich	ael Behaylo			
	Yes	_No			
			Respectfully Submitted,		
			/s/ Jay M. Presser, Esq. Jay M. Presser, Esq. BBO #405760 Counsel for Defendant Skoler, Abbott & Presser, P.C. One Monarch Place, Suite 2000 Springfield, Massachusetts 01144		
Dated	: February	/ 11, 2008	Tel. (413) 737-4753/Fax (413) 787-1941		

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing *Defendant's*, *Proposed Jury Questions* was served upon the attorney of record for each other party, via hand delivery, on February 11, 2008.

<u>/s/ Jay M. Presser, Esq.</u> Jay M. Presser, Esq.